Complementing backyard-bedroom recording studios with a mobile app
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Abstract: Research on township hip-hop musicians in South Africa suggest that media producers in developing countries may be increasingly be combining use of mobile phones with other digital technology. This demonstrates the need for M4D designers to conceptualise apps which fit such cross-device digital media ecologies. We describe the co-design methods used to support such hybrid township hip-hop digital media ecologies. These designs emerged from an interdisciplinary collaboration, where the ethnographic work of a media studies student and her access to participants were used as a basis for a computer science student in setting up a participatory design project. Such collaboration has been fostered for some time at the ICT4D lab at our university and may provide a model for postgraduate mobile app design collaboration. The mobile application developed supports hip-hop production. We argue for the importance of such creative digital tools in M4D, as they may facilitate voice and capability in marginalised communities.

Introduction

Several scholars have argued that popular media consumption should be given more attention in the ICT4D and M4D literature, as these build a range of digital and mobile technical skills (Arora and Rangaswamy, 2013; Sey and Ortoleva, 2014). We argue that mobile media production needs to be recognised as a key focus for M4D designers. Digital and mobile media production provide marginalised communities in the global South with voice, (Couldry, 2010) and inclusion in global dialogues resisting corporate media power (Jenkins, 2006). This is why the ICT4D centre at the University of Cape Town has for some time now worked in this field (Bidwell et al., 2010; Noakes et al., 2014; Walton, 2009; Walton et al., 2012). Recent ethnographic research with township hip-hop artists by the third author, show how hip-hop production is integral in resisting a hegemonic violent consumerist masculinity in the marginalised space of the township (Schoon, 2014). We may therefore consider hip-hop through Sen’s (1999, 1992) capability approach, as allowing young people some control of their own lives. What distinguishes several hip-
hop artists, from other poor, black and predominantly unemployed young people who generally own mobile phones, was their ownership of second-hand personal computers. Hip-hop artists who mastered the digital media software on their computers and had access to a microphone became known as producers. Their simple corrugated iron, cement and mud backyard bedrooms behind the family house were then acknowledged as recording studios (Figures 1 & 2). In this space a communal ownership of the ‘yard’ computer developed between the rappers and the producer.

With the introduction of this relatively rare digital technology, new practices emerged where digital media was frequently transferred between the computer, mobile feature phones and the mobile Internet. The yard computer became a repository of hip-hop media which was transferred back and forth to mobile phones via USB cable and then further distributed in the streets via Bluetooth. Hip-hop artists also described how their digital ecologies extended to transferring files to flash drives and consuming hip-hop media on DVD players. Up to now there has been very little research that examined how marginalised people in the global South use their mobile phones in conjunction with other digital devices (Two exceptions are Ahmed et al., 2013; Rangaswamy and Cutrell, 2012a). Such digital media ecologies therefore present a particular design challenge to the M4D designer.

Studies have shown that appropriately adapted methods are required in developing world contexts (Marsden et al., 2008). Community based co-design aids in navigating complex design spaces where requirements are determined by the many designers who have varied design skills and technological abilities (Blake et al., 2011). Solutions found in this way address users’ communicated wants and support their learning, alleviating a researcher’s short-sightedness and bias.

Our co-designed mobile application (“app”) set out to support the digital prac-
This paper describes the design of mobile services in situations where they cannot replace computer based systems but are valuable additions that amplify the resources and allow enhanced participation in hip-hop music creation.

**Limitations of mobile phones in developing contexts**

Mobile access in Africa has doubled since 2008 (“ICT Facts and Figures”, 2015). This ubiquity of mobile phones has seen them proposed as solutions in many Information and Communications Technology for Development applications (Rashid and Elder, 2009). However, there remain limits to what is possible on mobiles compared to computer based systems. Most hip-hop artists used feature phones such as BlackBerry’s, or Nokia’s. These are budget phones that have smartphone capabilities with a smaller screen and limited processing power.

At the outset, an understanding of what a smartphone is capable of was realised by all co-designers. It meant that not all recording and producing processes could be managed on a low cost smartphone since music production is a computationally intensive task. This affected the features that the app could have.

In music production there are many aspects to visualise, including waveforms, channels, compression ratios, equalizers and much more, as such, PC software interfaces are designed to allow users to navigate through multiple functionalities in music editing. This works well on interfaces with split screens or multiple monitors but a smartphone has severe constraints in this regard. When designing for mobile it is important to consider what activities can be catered for within limited user interaction space available (Kukulska-Hulme, 2007).

**Interdisciplinary Co-design Method**

**Method**

Our method is flexible and sensitive to a context that depends on the participant, intermediary and designer perspectives and agendas (Marsden et al., 2008). Design is a creative and proactive activity. The designer’s role includes the role of mediator of different interests, and facilitator of other participants’ ideas and initiatives while maintaining reflexive stance (Wyche, 2015). Importantly, it includes the designer’s judgement with respect to creativity and design.
knowledge, to conceive and realise design interactions and their corresponding design devices (Ehn, 2008).

**Intermediary**

The design of mobile apps for creative expression, have increasingly been the result of collaboration by interdisciplinary teams of post-graduate students at UCT. Such collaboration is based in the notion of an intermediary, who bridges a gap between the community and technologists, and acts as a user champion, allowing different stakeholders participation to become protectors and enablers (Simonsen and Robertson, 2012). In our case the intermediary, third author, was a doctoral media studies student with a long term relationship with the community. This allowed the first author trusted admission into the hip-hop community in Grahamstown, Eastern Cape, South Africa and access to observations and insights into their digital and media practices.

We built on this understanding to expose the technology gaps in the community without having to do extensive interviews and invasive methods. Through joint co-design sessions and visits to home studios, both technologist and intermediary could gain insight into the digital and media practices of the community.

The knowledge gained from using prototypes, ethnographic information from the intermediary and through the design sessions done with users gave the foundation of the app design (Jones and Marsden, 2006). Co-design meetings occurred at every design intervention step: contextual inquiry, identifying requirements, paper prototyping then finally creating a smartphone prototype.

**Contextual Inquiry**

Please note that many of the terms are explained in an appended glossary.

Fifteen hip-hop artists altogether participated as co-designers at various times during the research project. Ten were recruited through a workshop that took place at the July 2015 Fingo Festival, a township festival that runs alongside Grahamstown’s National Arts Festival ("Fingo Festival," n.d.) and; other artists were recruited through the intermediary’s network and gave permission to visit their home studio in the townships.

**Backyard studio visits**

Observing the artists’ recording, mixing and electronic beat production activities revealed that they cannot create music without backyard-bedroom
studios. Rappers are dependent on producers to create beats, the underlying music upon which their vocal recording it placed. Thirteen of the fifteen participants had a feature phone, but only six had a functional home studio and the other nine did not have any recording facility. While rappers had access to yard computers, as these were seen as communal assets, digital beat production involved many hours of learning musical and digital skills, which meant that such skills were concentrated in only a few of the producers who had constant access to computers in their bedrooms. This called for the design of an app where such beatmaking skills could be developed on the mobile phone and then transferred to the computer.

**Mind maps and musical process**

The first co-design session focused on each artist’s music creation process; from the initial steps of ideation, to writing lyrics, beat creation, recording and mastering, to distribution and finally promotion. Ten participants were recruited; they were attendees and performing artists of Fingo Festival 2015 and form the first set of co-designers for our research project. This first session provided insights into the community’s media and technology practices, what is common and what gaps exists.

Artists were asked to map out their music creation process and were given materials to help plot this on paper. They were asked to include what media and software they would use at each phase. They could illustrate this process in any way they wanted to (Figure 3).

*Figure 3: Mind maps produced from first co-design session, mind map from producer (left), artists (right)*

**Common ground**

Participants produced music creation mind maps that were similar, mainly differing in ordering of steps. Many used the same recording software and shared
their music via Bluetooth on their phones or with flash sticks. They posted music to the same media file hosting sites, such as datafilehost (www.datafilehost.com) and WAP site ekasimp3 (www.kasimp3.co.za/wap/), then sent a link to friends via instant messaging or social media. Artists used mobile data, and due to its expense, preferred to upload to sites like datafilehost which do not require an account to sign in nor pop-up advertising which would consumes additional data.

**Dependency of Artists on Producers Artists**

Four of the ten participants were both producers and rappers, while the rest were strictly rappers and relied on their producer for the bulk of the music editing and beat creation. The following quotes come from participants at the first session.

“**I’ll write my verses on paper then I’ll message my producer. Once he makes a beat, I’ll go visit him. Then I recite my verses on top of his beat. Sometimes he will have to change the beat and I’ll have to come back another day.”** - Rapper1

Rapping involves a technique called ‘flow’ in which the inherent rhythms of the beat are accentuated in the rap vocals through emphasis and punctuation. This meant that if the rapper had not written his rap lyrics to the specific beat, it might be particularly difficult to adjust the flow to match it.

“**Guys come in to record and we end up recording lots of takes, it takes time to get his flow and doesn’t know his lyrics and verses”** – Producer1

As one of the most respected beatmakers in the hip-hop community, Producer1 was able to charge an hourly fee for his services, so encouraging rappers to prepare as much as possible. However, if they were not able to practice rapping to the beat beforehand, this was difficult..

**Differing interests of Artists and Producer Artists**

In this session it was apparent that producers and rappers have different interests in the overall music creation process. With producers prioritising mastering and production of beats while rappers were interested in being able to record their verses and capture ideas for beats so that they might more easily send these to each other via mobile phone instead of timeous travel to visit each other.

“**MC’s don’t practice their verses with the beat, they only get to rehearse when they come to record”** - Producer2
“I get my beats from my producer via Bluetooth or on a flash. Sometimes on WhatsApp but then the sound quality is lost” – Rapper2

Sending uncompressed works in progress, from rappers to producers, and finished compositions, from producers to rappers, was thought to be of value to both parties, and better than sending beats via instant messaging where sound quality was lost and it could not be used in desktop recording software since it was the wrong format.

**Mobile device complements PC recording**

From the first it was clear that any app would not act as a substitute to existing recording and production practices, since this would mean a significant step down in sound quality, which was of utmost importance to the artists. There was a need to shorten the lengthy recording process through a technical solution that could eliminate the back and forth travels to get the right beat and quality, and facilitate rehearsal on the correct beat. A mobile phone could handle subtasks of the recording process like beat creation and vocal recording. These could aid the creative process without diminishing overall sound quality for beats, since they may be saved as high quality audio and edited on PC software without sound loss, but vocal recordings would be for rehearsal only. Using a smartphone for rappers and producers to send each other beats or recordings would promote collaboration, and give rappers more control over the beatmaking process.

**Paper prototyping**

Paper prototyping involved seven participants, two producers and five rappers forming a co-design group. Five participants were from the previous design session. While an app which helps both producers and rappers was agreed upon, its features were still up for debate. In this session it was agreed that a mobile phone could bridge gaps, since everyone had one. Discussing an app that could help producers and rappers through reflecting on the problems discussed in the previous workshop of rehearsal and time wasted on finding appropriate beats during recording, it was agreed that collaboration and ad hoc beat creation was a priority for an app.

Participants were shown some existing mobile music applications which facilitated discussion on what production features are possible on a mobile platform. This improved their design understanding and mind-set in the co-design session. Participants were then prompted to describe the look and
behaviour of a possible app. The paper prototype was co-designed by firstly agreeing upon functionality and then a meaningful music visualisation. Figure 4 documents how typical backyard studio PC’s music software influenced the visualisation the hip-hop artists suggested for the app. It used a line cursor to indicate the playback point on the music timeline. They also suggested a landscape view interface to emulate music software they were familiar with. Figure 4 also shows a tabbed interface to navigate to different features. A list of limited features were agreed upon by participants, given the limitations of mobile processing: they prioritised effects, that is, tempo, instruments, samples; and sharing of beats created with peers via Bluetooth (format compatible with existing PC music production software); and the ability to save beats in progress.

**Implementation**

The app developed was designed to be a tool that complements artists’ music creation process. It is not a substitute to a home recording studio but a resource that accompanies backyard-bedroom recording process. It therefore fits the hip-hop artists’ digital media ecology where mobile phones are ubiquitous, but interchanges of media files with backyard computers are common. Here we have chosen to highlight beat maker and sequencer app of the project. These reflect the design concepts from Figure 4 from the paper prototype design session.
Figure 5: Prototype sequencer and beat maker on Nokia Lumia 520

The prototype beat maker and sequencer was built on Windows Phone 8.1 platform and deployed on Nokia Lumia 520’s because many participants own or have had interaction with Nokia budget smartphones (Molapo and Densmore, 2015).

The sequencer builds on previous sessions and the interface adopts what artists are familiar with (Figure 5). Artists may change tempo, add more instruments, change the looping of the beats, save to the device, play back their beats, and send them to peers via Bluetooth or through Wi-Fi connection.

Figure 6 shows how the app allows for more samples and instruments to be added to different channels to make more complex beats. This was a priority requested by participants in the paper prototype session to allow artists to create varied beats on a portable device.

Evaluation

The beat maker and sequencer app was evaluated by six artists. This evaluation had two components: the first being task completion exercises, the second a being qualitative user experience questionnaire. The tasks were composing a beat, editing tempo, editing channels, saving a beat and sending a beat via
Bluetooth. After completing these tasks artists were left to explore the app and create beats as they desired. Afterwards they were asked to complete a questionnaire to rate components of the app, usefulness and ease of use.

All artists were able to create and send beats to one another with minimal assistance. This reflected in task completion tests, with every participant completing tasks in less than three minutes. The task completion tests soon evolved into a beat jam session, since users were told they could explore the app and create as many beats as they wanted. A jam session is where artists play (“jam”) by improvising without extensive preparation or predetermined arrangements.

Artists said that they would prefer if they could save to more audio formats such as MP3 (MPEG-1 Audio Layer 3) but this was a conflict in with the producer’s preferred default of AAC (Advanced Audio Coding) which could be ported to their PC’s with no loss in sound quality. Hence the decision to implement AAC was adhered to.

**Emergent properties of mobile app**

The app was used by hip-hop artists who attended the evaluation session and users were very happy with it. We also visited an artist at home, in his backyard-bedroom recording studio. This artist enthusiastically evaluated the app:

“It looks beautiful, I love it. You can learn how to use it in one day, look I’m able to learn it in a few minutes” – Producer2

**Ad hoc usage**

Ubiquity of mobile phones allows for such tools to be used in many different contexts. This potential of *ad hoc* usage was seen as something useful and empowering: being able to create music whilst still in bed or on a bus ride. Artists appreciated its independence of PCs. This meant that more members of the community were able to participate in composing music. By leveraging available technology it extended the ability of artists to create content for later high-quality recording on PCs. The app thus complemented their music creation process.

**Simplicity of App**

The simpler functionality of the app meant that it was also simpler to learn and easy to quickly convey creative ideas and beats to fellow artists. Hip-hop artists were appreciative of this, compared to the complex learning curve for PC
production software. This is a positive consequence of simplification required for developing for mobile phones.

**Practice and collaboration**

PA’s and artists used the app collaboratively while evaluations were taking place: working on beats together both in workshop evaluations and at home interviews. Artists valued accessibility of the app, being able to capture song ideas at their convenience and practice music making skills. Allowing artists to practice may help in building a more constructive recording relationship and confidence for an individual.

**Conclusion**

This paper has shown how co-designing such an app can result in a tool which supports an artist’s technology practices. The app design responded to the particular digital media ecologies where mobile phones were used in conjunction with backyard computers. We showed that even mobile phones with limited capabilities can play a positive role, not replacing PC functionality, but complementing and amplifying resources already in place.

Central to our approach was the interdisciplinary teamwork between media studies scholar and technologically skilled designer. This frees the technologist from attempting to master ethnographic skills and focus on co-design of appropriate and productive tools in a resource limited context.
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Glossary

Beat: a hip-hop instrumental is casually referred to as a beat
Channel: path for passing data or digital audio. In sequencing, each channel is assigned to a single instrument in any particular instant of time.
Crew: a hip-hop group
Mastering: form of audio post production, is the process of preparing and transferring recorded audio from a source containing the final mix to a data storage device (the master)
MC: (also referred to as a rapper) is a music artist and/or performer who usually creates and performs vocals for his/her own original material
Mixer: an electronic device that channels incoming audio signals while maintaining control over such effects as volume level, tonality, placement, and other dynamics for music production.
Producer: a composer is casually referred to as a producer. In the studio a hip-hop producer also functions as a traditional record producer who is ultimately responsible for the final sound of a recording.
Samples: portion, or sample, of one sound recording and reusing it as an instrument or a sound recording in a different song or piece.
Sequencer: programmable electronic device for storing sequences of musical notes, chords, or rhythms and transmitting them to an electronic musical instrument.
Synthesizer: an electronic musical instrument that generates electric signals that are converted to sound through instrument amplifiers and loudspeakers or headphones.
Township: suburb or city of predominantly black occupation, formerly officially designated for black occupation by apartheid legislation.